# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE GUARDIAN NEWS, INC., and SELIM ZHERKA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PHILIP AMICONE, individually, EDMUND HARTNETT, individually, JOHN A. LISZEWSKI, individually, CITY OF YONKERS, New York, PAUL WOOD, individually, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOES #1 TO #20, individually, and SANITATION WORKERS #1 to #20,

Defendants.

ECF Case

07 Civ. 7078

ANSWER/AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSES** 

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants by and through their attorneys, Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP, answer the complaint of Plaintiffs (the "Complaint") as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Deny the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of the Complaint as they relate to the actions of the Defendants. The remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of the Complaint state legal conclusions as to which no responsive pleading is required.

### **JURISDICTION**

2. The allegations set forth in paragraph 2 of the Complaint state legal conclusions as to which no responsive pleading is required. Defendants respectfully refer the Court to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for their complete terms.

#### THE PARTIES

- 3. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
  - 4. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
  - 5. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

- 6. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
- 9. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

#### THE FACTS

- 10. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
- 13. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
  - 14. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
  - 15. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
  - 16. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
  - 17. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
  - 18. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
  - 19. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
  - 20. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
  - 21. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

### AS AND FOR A FIRST CLAIM

- 22. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 22 Defendants repeat and reallege their responses set forth in paragraphs 1-21 of as if fully incorporated herein.
  - 23. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

# AS AND FOR A SECOND CLAIM

- 24. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 23 Defendants repeat and reallege their responses set forth in paragraphs 1-21 of as if fully incorporated herein.
  - 25. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

# FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. The Defendants are entitled to qualified and/or absolute immunity.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

28. Plaintiffs lack capacity and or standing to sue.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

29. The Court lacks personal and/or subject matter jurisdiction.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that the Court enter an order

- dismissing the Complaint in its entirety;
- (ii) awarding the Defendants attorneys' fees and costs; and
- (iii) granting Defendants any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: White Plains, New York August 28, 2007

### THACHER PROFFITT & WOOD LLP

By: S/
Kevin J. Plunkett (KP 3049)
Darius P. Chafizadeh (DC 2720)
Attorneys for Defendants
50 Main Street
White Plains, NY 10606
914-421-4100

TO: Lovett & Gould, LLP 222 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, New York 10605 (914) 428-8401